PSC4375: Randomized Experiments

Week 1: Lecture 2

Prof. Weldzius

Villanova University

Slides Updated: 2025-01-24

Changing minds on gay marriage

- Question: can we effectively persuade people to change their minds?
- Hugely important question for political campaigns, companies, etc.
- Psychological studies show it isn't easy.
- Contact Hypothesis: outgroup hostility diminished when people from different groups interact with one another.
- Today we'll explore this question the context of support for gay marriage and contact with a member of the LGBT community.
 - $Y_i = \text{support for gay marriage } (1) \text{ or not } (0)$
 - $T_i = \text{contact with member of the LGBT community } (1) \text{ or not } (0)$

Causal effects and counterfactuals

- What does " T_i causes Y_i " mean? \rightsquigarrow counterfactuals, "what if"
- Would citizen i have supported gay marriage if they had contact with a member of the LGBT community?
- Two potential outcomes:
 - $Y_i(1)$: would i have supported gay marriage if they **had** contact with a member of the LGBT community?
 - $Y_i(0)$: would i have supported gay marriage if they **didn't have** contact with a member of the LGBT community?
- Causal effect for citizen i: $Y_i(1) Y_i(0)$
- Fundamental problem of causal inference: only one of the two potential outcomes is observable.

3 / 10

Sigma notation

- We will often refer to the sample size (number of units) as n.
- We often have n measurements of some variable: $(Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n)$
- We often want sums: how many in our sample support gay marriage?

$$Y_1 + Y_2 + ... + Y_n$$

Notation is a bit clunky, so we often use the Sigma notation:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i = Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n$$

• $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ means sum each value from Y_1 to Y_n

Averages

- The sample average or sample mean is simply the sum of all values divided by the number of values.
- Sigma notation allows us to write this in a compact way:

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$$

• Suppose we surveyed 6 people and 3 supported gay marriage:

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{6}(1+1+1+0+0+0) = 0.5$$

Quantity of interest

• We want to estimate the average causal effects over all units:

Sample Average Treatment Effect (SATE)
$$=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(Y_i(1)-Y_i(0))$$

- Why can't we just calculate this quantity directly?
- What we can estimate instead:

Difference in means
$$= \bar{Y}_{treated} - \bar{Y}_{control}$$

- ullet $Y_{treated}$: observed average outcome for treated group
- \bullet $\bar{Y}_{control}$: observed average outcome for control group

* When will the difference-in-means be a good estimate of the SATE?

Randomized control trials (RCTs)

- Randomize control trial: each unit's treatment assignment is determined by chance
 - e.g., flip a coin; draw read and blue chips from a hat; etc.
- Randomization ensures balance between treatment and control group.
 - Treatment and control group are identical on average
 - Similar on both observable and unobservable characteristics.
- ullet Control group pprox what would have happened to treatment group if they had taken control
 - $\bar{Y}_{control} \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i(0)$
 - $\bar{Y}_{treated} \bar{Y}_{control} \approx \mathsf{SATE}$

Some potential problems with RCTs

Placebo effects:

 Respondents will be affected by any intervention, even if they shouldn't have any effect

• Hawthorne effects:

Respondents act differently just knowing that they are under study.

Balance checking

- Can we determine if randomization "worked"?
- If it did, we shouldn't see large differences between treatment and control group on pretreatment variable
 - Pretreatment variable are those that are unaffected by treatment
- ullet We can check in the actual data for some pretreatment variable X
 - $\bar{X}_{treated}$: average value of variable for treated group
 - $\bar{X}_{control}$: average value of variable for control group
 - ullet Under randomization, $ar{X}_{treated} ar{X}_{control} pprox 0$

Multiple treatments

- Instead of 1 treatment, we might have multiple treatment arms:
 - Control condition
 - Treatment A
 - Treatment B
 - Treatment C, etc.
- In this case, we will look at multiple comparisons:
 - $\bar{Y}_{treated,A} \bar{Y}_{control}$
 - $Y_{treated.B} Y_{control}$
 - Y_{treated A} − Y_{treated B}
- If treatment arms are randomly assigned, these differences will be good estimators for each causal contrast.